Please note, these are the actual video-recorded proceedings from the live CME event and may include the use of trade names and other raw, unedited content.

Novel Strategies Under Investigation for the Treatment of Multiple Myeloma

Noopur Raje, MD

Director, Center for Multiple Myeloma MGH Cancer Center Professor of Medicine

Harvard Medical School







Disclosures

Consulting Agreements	Amgen Inc, Celgene Corporation, Onyx Pharmaceuticals, an Amgen subsidiary, Takeda Oncology		
Contracted Research	Acetylon Pharmaceuticals Inc, Lilly		

Case presentation 7: Dr Brenner

78-year-old woman; refractory multiple myeloma, has been treated for the past 6 years

- Currently receiving pomalidomideand daratumumab-based regimen
 - Stable disease
 - No remaining standard treatment options
- Referred for evaluation of potential for CAR T-cell therapy



Case presentation 8: Dr Morganstein

78-year-old woman

- 2013: MM with t(11;14)
- Received bortezomib, lenalidomide, pomalidomide, ixazomib



 2017: Very heavily transfusion-dependent after multiple regimens, most recently daratumumab and bortezomib with slowly decreasing M spike and significant anemia

What we will cover

• CAR T Cells

• Venetoclax and other late stage compounds

• Denosumab

What are Chimeric Antigen Receptors (CAR) and CAR T cells?

CAR = transmembrane receptor that contains:

- 1. Extracellular domain: Antibody domain (scFv) against a tumor antigen
- 2. Transmembrane domain
- 3. Intracellular domain:

<u>First generation CARs</u>: CD3ζ (T-cell coreceptor necessary for T-cell activation) <u>Second generation CARs</u>: CD3ζ + either CD28 or 4-1BB (costimulatory domain) <u>Third generation CARs to come</u>: CD3ζ + two costimulatory domains (CD28, 4-1BB, OX40, ICOS, CD27)



CAR T cells = T cells transfected with DNA encoding a CAR, so the CAR is expressed on the T-cell surface

BCMA: A Promising Target in Multiple Myeloma (MM)

B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA)

- A member of the TNF receptor superfamily
- Expression is largely restricted to plasma cells and mature B cells
- Not detectable in any other normal tissues
- Expressed nearly universally on multiple myeloma cells
- Anti-MM efficacy validated in initial studies



Multiple myeloma cells expressing BCMA

(brown color = BCMA protein)

BCMA directed Strategies

• BCMA Antibodies

• BCMA BITES

• BCMA CAR T cells

CRB-401 Open-label Phase 1 Clinical Study of bb2121





Cytokine Release Syndrome Readily Manageable

Reported CRS-Related Symptoms *In 15/21 treated patients with CRS* **Pyrexia** Headache Tachycardia Fatigue Grade 1 AST increased Grade 2 Weight increased Grade 3 Syncope Pelvic pain Bradyphrenia 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

• 15/21 (71%) with cytokine release syndrome (CRS)

- 2 patients with Grade 3 CRS that resolved in 24 hours
- 4 patients received tocilizumab,
- 1 (Grade 2 CRS) with steroids
- CRS grade does not appear related to tumor burden
- CRS-related symptoms mostly Grade 1-2
- No Grade 3/4 neurotoxicity



All Patients in Active Dose Cohorts Achieved an Objective Response, Duration up to 54 Weeks



Stable Disease PR
 VGPR CR/sCR PD
 MRD- deceased
 u = unconfirmed response

*High tumor burden (>50% bone marrow involvement)

Includes unscheduled assessments.

Berdeja et al, ASCO 2017

Clinical Response: Time to Response and MRD

Response Rates and Timing

Assessment of Minimal Residual Disease (MRD)⁺

Efficacy Parameter	% (95% CI)	Patient ID	Month 1	Month 3	Month 6	
ORR all doses	89% (65-99)	4	Negative 10 ⁻⁵	Negative 10⁻⁴ (10 ⁻⁵ undetermined) (10 ⁻⁶ undetermined)	Negative 10⁻⁴ & 10 ⁻⁵ Positive 10 ⁻⁶	
ORR (> 50 x 10 ⁶ CAR+ cells)	100% (78.2-100)					
≥VGPR (> 50 x 10 ⁶ CAR+ cells)	73%	6	Negative 10 ⁻⁵	failed QC	N/A	
CR rate (> 50 x 10 ⁶ CAR+ cells)	27%	8	N/A	Negative 10⁻⁴ and 10⁻⁵ (10 ⁻⁶ undetermined)	N/A	
	Median (range)	0	Negative 10⁻⁴ & 10⁻⁵ (10 ⁻⁶ undetermined)	Negative at 10 ⁻⁴ & 10 ⁻⁵	N/A	
Time to First Response (days)	31 (15-92)	9		(10 ⁻⁶ undetermined)		
Time to Best Response (days)	59.5 (15-186)	*Pt 5 and Pt 7 had no clone identified at baseline; Pt 10 Month 1 failed QC [†] MRD assessed using next-gen sequencing immunoSEO. Adaptive, Inc.				
Duration of Response (days, as of data cut-off)	134+ (7-361)	 Durable responses in all evaluable subjects at doses > 50 x 10⁶ CAR+ cells 4 of 4 avaluable action to ano MRD possibility at 10⁻⁵ constituity lovel 				
		- 4 of 4 evaluable patients are IVIKD negative at 10 ⁻³ sensitivity level				

ORR: overall response rate among patients evaluable for clinical response



CAR T-cell Toxicity: Cytokine Release Syndrome



CAR T-cell Toxicity: Neurotoxicity



What Else Is New in MM?

Oral proteasome inhibitors	New IMiDs	HDACi	Kinase inhibitors	Monoclonal antibodies	Novel mechanisms	Immuno- therapies
• Ixazomib	• CC-122	• Panobinostat	• Vemurafenib	• Elotuzumab	• Venetoclax	• PDL-1/PD-1
• Oprozomib	• CC-220	• Ricolinostat	• Afuresertib	• Daratumumab	• Selinexor	• CAR-T
• Marizomib		• ACY-241	• Dinaciclib	• Isatuximab		• BITE
			• PIMi (LGH-447)			
			• Trametinib			

Venetoclax Background

- BCL-2 and MCL-1 promote multiple myeloma (MM) cell survival
- Venetoclax is a selective, orally available small molecule BCL-2 inhibitor¹ and bortezomib can indirectly inhibit MCL-1
- Venetoclax enhanced bortezomib activity in vitro and in vivo²



Phase 1 Venetoclax for RRMM: response and TTP in all patients and by t(11;14) status





Data cutoff of 19 Aug 2016

Objective Responses



Selinexor Mechanism of Action



- Exportin 1 (XPO1) is the only nuclear exporter for the majority of tumor suppressor proteins (TSPs), the glucocorticoid receptor (GR), and eIF4E-bound oncoprotein mRNAs
- Selinexor is a first-in-class XPO1 inhibitor that induces nuclear retention and activation of TSPs and the GR in the presence of steroids and suppresses oncoprotein expression

An International, Randomized, Double Blind Phase III Trial Comparing Denosumab With Zoledronic Acid for the Treatment of Bone Disease in Patients With Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma



Per protocol and zoledronic acid label, IV product was dose adjusted for baseline creatinine clearance and subsequent dose intervals were determined by serum creatinine levels. No SC dose adjustments were required.

Raje et al ASCO 2017. Abstract 8005

Results

Primary Endpoint Met:

Noninferiority for Time to First On-Study Skeletal-Related Event



Raje et al ASCO 2017

Results

Exploratory Endpoint: Progression-Free Survival



Raje et al ASCO 2017

Results: Much Better Tolerated with Respect to Renal Toxicity

- There were significantly lower incidences of adverse events potentially related to renal toxicity with denosumab therapy compared to zoledronic acid, particularly in those patients with baseline CrCl ≤60mL/minute.
- The incidence of hypocalcemia, with the majority of events either grade 1 or 2, was greater for denosumab compared to zoledronic acid; there were no grade 5 events.

	All Pa	tients	Patients With Baseline CrCl ≤60mL/minute		
	Denosumab N = 850	Zoledronic Acid N = 852	Denosumab N = 233	Zoledronic Acid N = 220	
Renal Toxicity TEAEs, n (%)	85 (10.0) _{P<0.0}	٥١ 146 (17.1)	30 (12.9) ₽< 0.0	58 (26.4)	
Creatinine >2mg/dL; n/N1 (%)	31/824 (3.8) P=0.0	10 54/823 (6.6)	20/216 (9.3) P=0.0	⁵⁴ 32/203 (15.8)	
Creatinine Doubled From Baseline; n/N2 (%)	28/841 (3.3) P=0.0	oz 55/840 (6.5)	6/233 (2.6) P=0.02	16/220 (7.3)	
Hypocalcemia TEAEs, n (%)	144 (16.9) _{P=0.0}	09 106 (12.4)	46 (19.7) P=0.0	⁵⁶ 28 (12.7)	
Osteonecrosis of the Jaw, Positively Adjudicated; n (%)	35 (4.1) P=0.1	47 24 (2.8)	10 (4.3) P=0.17	4 (1.8)	

 $CrCl = Creatinine clearance; N = Number of patients who received \geq 1 active dose of investigational product; N1 = Number of patients with baseline serum creatinine <math>\leq 2 \text{ mg/dL};$ N2 = Number of patients with non-missing baseline value of serum creatinine; TEAE = Treatment-emergent adverse event. Descriptive P's based on Fisher's exact test.

Results: The Value of Denosumab: Payer Perspective



The NMB of denosumab vs. zoledronic acid is \$5,959^a.

Cost per QALY (incremental cost-effectiveness ratio): \$52,524^a - \$125,568^b

Payer perspective includes: SRE Direct costs (hospital, outpatient, long-term care & hospice, strong opioid) + QALY monetization. Assumes only 50% MM treatment cost offsets. Drug costs are ASP.

^aBased on zoledronic acid ASP; ^bbased on zoledronic acid WAC.

AE Adverse event; ASP Average sales price; MM Multiple myeloma; QALY Quality-adjusted life years; SRE Skeletal-related events; WAC Wholesale acquisition cost; ZA Zoledronic acid.